

## Alliance Special Executive Committee Meeting

April 25, 2024

### Alliance

### Special Executive Committee Meeting

Thursday, April 25, 2024

1:00 – 2:00 PM

Join Zoom Meeting:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89796541408?pwd=OGpPRVArcDhTS1MzWml3YUhaZHV3dz09>

One tap mobile +16699009128,,89796541408#,,,,\*651946# US (San Jose)

Committee Members and Affiliates: Chair Galli Murray, Co-Chair Charlette Lumby, Angela Perry, Don Erickson, Jill Baker, John Seeley, Justin Potts, Karen Cellarius, Kirk Wolfe, Meghan Crane, Laura Rose Misaras, Liz Schwarz, Pam Pearce, Shanda Hochstetler, Taylor Chambers

Committee Members not in Attendance: Deb Darmata, Gordon Clay, Kelie McWilliams, Sandy Bumpus

Staff in Attendance: Annette Marcus (Alliance), Jennifer Fraga (Alliance)

Guests: Camille Krueger, Canada Taylor, Chelsea Holcomb, Craig Leets, Diane Kaufman, Erin Porter, James Dixon, LeAne Bustamante, Linda Hockman, Lukas Soto, Ritu Roy, Rosanna Jackson, Shannon Marble, Shay Clarke, Siche Green-Mitchell

#### *Our Mission*

The Alliance advocates and works to inform and strengthen Oregon's suicide prevention, intervention and postvention policies, services and supports to prevent youth and young adults from dying by suicide.

#### *Our Vision*

In Oregon all young people have hope, feel safe asking for help, can find access to the right help at the right time to prevent suicide, and live in communities that foster healing, connection, and wellness.

#### *Equity Statement*

To achieve our vision, we acknowledge the impact of white supremacy, institutionalized racism, and all forms of oppression. The Alliance endeavors to make Oregon a place where suicide reduction and prevention is achieved for people of all ages, races, ethnicities, abilities, gender identities, sexual orientations, socioeconomic status, nationalities and geographic locations.

Time	Agenda Item	What / Update
1:00	<b>Welcome, Announcements</b>	
1:10	<p><b>Update on consultation with the Dept of Justice around the Oregon Alliance to Prevent Suicide’s by-laws and membership requirements</b></p> <p>Chelsea Holcomb</p>	<p>Chelsea: When the Alliance came into being, OHA was in a different place as far as staffing and supporting this work. The infrastructure that currently exists did not exist when the Alliance first started. OHA believes they haven’t resourced the Alliance as an advisory group how they want to. Changes and provided resources are also coming to other OHA councils.</p> <p>At a high level, they have discovered there are requirements for policies and procedures to align with the statutes relevant to the Alliance Member are volunteers with OHA and there are some training requirements that have not been shared with the Alliance before.</p> <p>The Alliance is an OHA director appointment council / advisory group. These don’t currently have the structure in place to provide trainings and explain policies and procedures like Governor Appointed Councils have, so OHA is working on this with the Alliance and other groups.</p> <p>Chelsea says that OHA is responsible for the Alliance not being aware of requirements the Alliance is held to and this isn’t something that the Alliance has done wrong.</p> <p>The Alliance’s By-laws are still being reviewed, line-by-line by the Department of Justice. The purpose is to make sure that the ‘how we do what we do’ and the relationship between the Alliance and OHA is crystal clear. Department of Justice is providing legal counsel on this.</p> <p>The biggest difference that Chelsea thinks is going to happen is through language changes. For example, we will possibly have to only give recommendations to OHA and not have things like our own policy agenda.</p>

By-laws: OHA has looked through initial comments and suggestions with DOJ for our by-laws. Once these changes / recommendations are finalized, this will be made into a Pdf and shared with the voted in Executive members can review that. This document can't be shared outside of that group due to attorney / client privilege. Changes / recommendations related to statute have to be done and accepted. Things outside of what statute requires can be discussed. Jill thinks that things that are changed are changed due to statute requirements.

The statute defines our role as an advisory body in relation to OHA. Chelsea thinks that the main changes are process related and that OHA will have to be included more in our steps.

Jill: SB707 (2019) was the statute to created the laws around this body.

HB4124 (2014) created the YSIPP and my [Jill's] position at OHA.

Q&A:

Q: Are the changes coming from OHA going to narrow our process and input from community members? Annette was speaking to our ability to have voting and non-voting members is part of why we have been so effective as an advisory group.

A: Chelsea thinks that the process that the Alliance does things by may be changed. She isn't sure if the Alliance's use of non-voting members will have to change. Jill will add this question to the list of questions for DOJ.

Q: Justin shared Annette's concern around additional processes. He hopes the process is simple enough to limit the barriers and difficulties with recruiting or more difficulties than what we have previously experienced.

A: Chelsea says that Jill and Shanda have the desire to make things as simple as possible.

Q: What is the difference between today's special executive meeting vs. an executive session?

A: What OHA is doing with DOJ is filed under attorney-client privilege. This limits attendance of who can be in the room when

**Alliance Special Executive Committee Meeting**

April 25, 2024

		<p>that conversation happens. Caveat – through statute, media can also attend executive sessions and we just have to ask for folks to identify themselves at the beginning of meetings.</p> <p>Q: Justin asked if there is there a difference intended to be made between committees and our "advisory groups"?</p> <p>A: Jill said this question is on the list for DOJ to talk through.</p> <p>Q: In the future, if we want to revise our by-laws, to we have to have OHA approve those changes.</p> <p>A: Chelsea thinks that, since OHA is on our Executive Committee, that their presence would suffice as they should be able to point things out. This question will be taken to DOJ as well.</p>
2:00	<b>Adjourn</b>	

