# Oregon Youth Suicide Prevention and Intervention Plan Metrics

Commented [BJ1]: HTO data set – where to plug that in?

Strategic Goal: Integrated	and Coordinated Activities			
Strategic Pathway:	Possible Metrics	Baseline	Benchmark	Data Sources:
Resourced Coalitions	<ul> <li>Number of active coalitions</li> </ul>	18		<ul> <li>Attendance rosters at</li> </ul>
Equipped Advisories	<ul> <li>Participation in learning</li> </ul>			Alliance webinars
Voice of Lived Experience	collaboratives for local coalitions			<ul> <li>Mini-grant applications</li> </ul>
Coordinated Entities	<ul> <li>State agencies regularly attend SPIP</li> </ul>	3	5	and reports
Suicide Prevention	meetings			- Attendance at SPIP
Policies	- Policy and procedures about SP are			meetings
Coordinated Activities	strengthened in youth-serving			- Alliance annual member
	agencies			survey
	<ul> <li>Improved integration of overall sp programming in state agencies</li> </ul>			- OHA contract reports
	- % of people on the Alliance with			
	lived experience			
	- Amount of \$\$ in stipends			
	- Number of youth engaged in SP			
	activities each year			
	·			
Strategic Goal: Media and	Communication			
Strategic Pathway:	Possible Metrics	Baseline	Benchmark	Data Sources:
Informed Leaders	<ul> <li>Number of identified legislators</li> </ul>			Alliance report to OHA
Information	who are champions for suicide			Listserv subscriptions
Dissemination	prevention			Media – unsure
Promoting Wellness	- Number of media reports about			LFL report to OHA
Safe Messaging	prevention and resources?			
	- Number of identified media			
	champions?			
	- Number of people receiving listserv			
	messages, new subscriptions			

	<ul> <li>Percentage of Suicide Prevention</li> </ul>			
	blurbs in monthly Holding Hope			
	newsletter			
	<ul> <li>Increased conference attendance</li> </ul>			
Strategic Goal: Social Dete	erminates of Health			
Strategic Pathway:	Possible Metrics	Baseline	Benchmark	Data Sources:
Clear Links	No named initiatives in 21-22			
Supporting Partners				
Strategic Goal: Coping and	Connections			
Strategic Pathway:	Possible Metrics	Baseline	Benchmark	Data Sources:
Positive Connections	# of elementary schools with Sources			Schools Deep Dive
Coping Strategies	# of elementary students receiving Sources			SSPW Scan
Adult Roles	# of adults attending adult advisor trainings			YMHFA surveys
	# of adults attending YMHFA trainings			Sources surveys?
	% of students who name trusted adult			School implementation plans at
	% of students who say at least one adult			Sources
	cares about them at school			Quarterly reports to OHA from
				Big River
				Learning Collaborative surveys
				Student Health Survey
Strategic Goal: Protective	Programming		<u>-</u>	
Strategic Pathway:	Possible Metrics	Baseline	Benchmark	Data Sources:
Available Support	# of calls to Lifeline	-	-	ESSENCE report
Population Focused	# and type of texts to Crisis Text Line			
Programming	# of volunteers on YouthLine			Contractor's quarterly reports to
Protective Policies	# of calls to YouthLine			OHA
	# of calls, chats to ReachOut Oregon			
	# of calls to other support lines			Tribal suicide prevention plans
	% of schools meeting Div 22			and reports
	% of schools meeting individual Adi's Act			
	requirements			ODE's Div 22 report
				CCDIM
				SSPW scan

**Commented [BJ2]:** What to measure? Wide variety of use of these funds. Culture camps, staffing, Big River implementation, PRIDE parade, mental health care kits.

Strategic Goal: Means Red	luction			
Strategic Pathway:	Possible Metrics	Baseline:	Benchmark:	Data Sources:
Safe Storage Access  Means Reduction Education Means Reduction Promotion	- # of medicine lock boxes requested by LMHAs - # of gun safes requested by LMHAs - # of safe storage items distributed by Suicide Rapid Response program - # of Oregon CALM trainings, people trained - # of providers taking the online - # trained in Rural Firearms owners course - % of students who can access loaded firearm in less than 24 hrs			AOCMHP quarterly reports  SRR reports  Student Health Survey
Strategic Goal: Frontline a				
Strategic Pathway:	Possible Metrics	Baseline:	Benchmark:	Data Sources:
Appropriately Trained Communities Supported Training Options Representative Trainers Culturally Relevant Trainings	<ul> <li>Big River Trainers (#, per capita, per county, representative of population)</li> <li># of T4T's held</li> <li># of active trainers / how many trainings per year per trainer / by region</li> <li># of people trained in each Big River program</li> <li># of school districts training all staff</li> <li>% of OHA contractors complying with cultural agility or anti-racism trainings</li> </ul>			SSPW scan  UO evaluation surveys  Big River quarterly reports  Forward Project
Strategic Goal: Healthcare	. ,			
Strategic Pathway:	Possible Metrics	Baseline:	Benchmark:	Data Sources:
Accessible Services Right-Sized Workforce	No named initiatives in 21-22			MMIS survey? System of Care dashboard? Workforce unit in OHA?
Strategic Goal: Healthcare	Coordination			

**Commented [BJ3]:** Also need to understand what is different because of this programming. Effectiveness measures? Intended result?

#### Things like:

- did they acquire the skills?
- did they use the skills?
- do we see a difference because they used the skills?
- referrals for suicide ideation increasing
- youth reporting more trusted adults
- do people receiving services think its culturally appropriate (OHA Medicaid survey data)

Strategic Pathway:	Possible Metrics	Baseline:	Benchmark:	Data Sources:
Integrated Care	Billing code for Caring Contacts activated –			CATS data (until Jan 2023)
Substance Use Services	Medicaid			
Appropriate				MMIS data?
Communication	Billing code for Caring Contacts activated –			
Coordinated Transitions	private insurance			
	Frequency at which billing code for Caring Contacts used			
Strategic Goal: Appropriat	e Treatment and Management of Suicidality			
Strategic Pathway:	Possible Metrics	Baseline:	Benchmark:	Data Sources:
Whole-Person	# of advanced skills offerings	-	-	SB 48 report
Approaches	# of providers taking Advanced Skills			HB2315 report
Voice and Choice	% of providers taking suicide prevention CE			AOCMHP quarterly reports
Equipped and Well	# of sites taking OPS trainings			OPS quarterly reports
Workforce	Amount of culturally relevant treatment			Tribal reports
	options			Big River reports
Strategic Goal: Postvention	n Services			
Strategic Pathway:	Possible Metrics	Baseline:	Benchmark:	Data Sources:
Equipped and Resourced	# of counties participating in Connect:			AOCMHP quarterly report
Communities	Postvention			SRR reports
Postvention Response	# of youth-serving entities served in SRR			SRR eval report
Leads	Satisfaction of SRR recipients			
Fatality Data	# of PRLs attending Qtrly meetings			

# **Oregon Suicide Surveillance Data**

# Data Updated in Annual Report - Public Facing

- Hospitalization (fatal and non-fatal)
- Student Health Survey
  - o % seriously considered suicide
  - o % attempted suicide
  - o % meet basic criteria for depression
  - o % loaded firearm within 24 hours
- Finalized CDC fatality data
- Firearm data from ODVRS
- Mechanism of means data from ODVRS
- CATS data

### **Surveillance Data - Public Facing**

#### Non-fatal Data:

- Monthly ESSENCE report suicide related activity in ED, UCC, Poison Control, Lifeline Calls
  - Soon to be a dashboard
- Crisis Text Line data

#### **Fatality Data:**

- Vital Statistics death data

### Surveillance Data – Issued to Public Health Authorities, Suicide Prevention Coordinators

- ORVDRS quarterly
- ESSENCE data alerts if applicable
- Jill contacts PRLs if a report is missing from the ME's report

### Surveillance Data - Internal to OHA

- Medical Examiner's weekly fatality report
- SB561 reports to OHA
- ORVDRS
- ESSENCE data alerts
- Monthly MDR: Suicide Focus meeting
- CATS reports (qrtly to OHA, annually published) will transition to MRSS reporting in Jan 2023.

Implementation Outcome	Definition			
Acceptability	The level of satisfaction with various parts of an intervention, as perceived by an individual provider and/or consumer			
Adoption	The spectrum of uptake, from intention to action, to try an intervention			
Appropriateness	The perceived relevance or fit of an intervention to an individual, organization, or setting			
Cost	A quantified measure of cost, cost-effectiveness, or cost/benefit ratio for an individual provider or service-providing institution			
Feasibility	The extent to which an intervention can be successfully carried out in a particular setting or organization			
Fidelity	The degree to which an intervention is implemented as it was designed in an original study, plan, or policy			
Penetration	The extent to which the intervention is actually used or delivered within an organization or setting			
Sustainability	The extent to which the administrators, organization, or setting are able to maintain broad use of the intervention			