

Evaluating and Tracking Legislation Passed in Previous Sessions

Attending: John, Meghan, David, Jerry, Annette

Brief History: Jerry raised the following concerns regarding HB 2023,2948,3090,3091 which were passed in previous legislative sessions. 1) Is the legislation being implemented? 2) How fully is it being implemented? And 3) Is it making a difference?

Our current understanding is that a report re implementation of HB3090 has been developed by OHA and that the information in that report was aggregated. Today's goal is to follow up on this (and possibly any reporting on implementation on the other bills) and to set up next steps.

John—would like a copy of the report on HB3090. Meghan notes that report falls into a regulatory part of Public Health Division in the Health Care Quality and Improvement Division. The report is a collaboration between this division and HSD. It has now been sent up to OHA Publications Division and has not yet been published. Meghan is willing to help this group get connected to the right person and is working with OHA to identify who that is and thinks that she should be able to help make this connection in the next week. When it is publicly available, Meghan will let this group know. Jerry encourages us to invite Julie Magers to join this conversation.

HB2023-Jerry's sense is that the bill was broad in a way that should have had stronger OARS in place. However, the Hospital Association developed some strong guidelines and states that these guidelines have been adopted by hospitals throughout Oregon. The legislation states that the policy's must be publicly available. (No report required.) Jerry suggests that a follow up with each of the hospitals (about 15) to learn what they have posted for policies. His goal is to get a better picture of what/how the policy is being implemented with specificity as to each hospital. John notes that getting the policies is something his team can take on, but that this is a first step and won't really tell us how effectively the policy is being implemented at hospitals.

Meghan notes that there is one tool for inpatient and one for outpatient.

HB3091 related to requirements for Medicaid and private insurers to pay for coordinated care and case management. This is likely tracked through another division (get name) Jerry notes that the Hearing Officer on HB3091 OAR was quite effective and could be helpful. Annette follow up with Julie Magers to get the name of the person.

HB2948 relates to disclosure of health related information and clarifies conditions under which protected health information may be disclosed. John notes that evaluating this will be challenging—would need to contact a random selection of providers and would be a "heavier lift" for evaluation.

Annette suggests that be connected back to Continuity of Care Committee and all agreed. David notes that it would be good if HB2023 had the same requirements in place that are in HB3090's OARS, including annual report from OHA. Jerry suggests that Rep. Keny-Guyer in the process and starting after legislative session is over.

Workplan:

- 1) Meghan will let the group know when the HB3090 report is published or give us an update around timelines if there is going to be a significant delay
- 2) Meghan will send along the criteria document for HB3090 today (data gathering form.)
- 3) UO Team will take on obtaining policies from each hospital that does inpatient work. John will report back to this group about what he's learned by end of June.
- 4) The UO team will take a look at HB2948 in the upcoming fiscal year.
- 5) Review action items at the May executive committee meeting.
- 6) By end of June schedule a call with update on HB2023,2948 and 3090.

Possible next steps – ask COC to look at HB2023 in comparison to HB3090. (Ask Cheryl if she knows how the OAR's can be modified, what it might take to reopen rules-let David and Jerry know...)

Annette send these notes to Julie and Galli and link to Continuity of Care work.